METAPHYSICAL GROUNDING

May 25th – 27th 2018 | Hamburg*





CONTENTS

Aim	1	
Schedule	2	
Abstracts	3	
Participants	5	

^{*} This workshop is funded by Prof. Kit Fine's Anneliese Maier Research prize from the Alexander von Humboldt foundation based at the University of Hamburg and a grant of the Canadian Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council awarded to Prof. Mike Raven.

AIM

A consensus is emerging among philosophers that a wide range of important philosophical questions are best formulated in terms of a distinctive kind of determination or explanation called "ground". A forthcoming anthology will survey the state of the art on ground, including: its history, the kind of explanation or determination it provides, its logic and structure, its connections to other notions, and its applications to other topics. This workshop will provide a forum in which authors writing for this anthology can develop and coordinate their contributions.

SCHEDULE

	May 25 th	May 26 th	May 27 th
9.00 - 9.30	Welcome		
9.30 - 11.00	Session 1	Session 3	Session 5
	History	Logic & Structure	Connections
11.00 - 11.30	Coffee Break		
	Session 2	Session 4	Session 5
11.30 - 1.00	Explanation &	Applications	continued
	Determination		
1.00 - 2.30	Lunch Break		
2 20 4 00	Session 2	Session 4	Session 5
2.30 - 4.00	continued	continued	continued
4.00 - 4.30	Coffee Break		
4.30 - 6.00	Session 2	Session 4	Capstone
	continued	continued	Session
8.00			Dinner

ABSTRACTS

Session 1 | History

Contributors: Phil Corkum, Marko Malink, Stefan Roski

Chair: Stefan Roski

In spite of some recent insinuations to the contrary, good cases can be made that grounding has been a central concern of philosophers throughout the past two millennia. This session gathers some work on the history of ground in Ancient, Medieval, and 19th-century philosophy.

Session 2 | Explanation & Determination

Contributors: Louis deRosset, Martin Glazier, Kathrin Koslicki, Jon Litland, Kevin Richardson, Benjamin Schnieder

Chair: Kathrin Koslicki

This session investigates the connections between grounding and explanation (Martin Glazier), and dependence (Benjamin Schnieder); meta-ground (Jon Litland); the varieties of ground (Kevin Richardson); skeptical doubts about grounding (Kathrin Koslicki) and rejoinders to these skeptical doubts (Louis deRosset).

Session 3 | Logic & Structure

Contributors: Scott Dixon, Stephan Kraemer

Chair: Stephan Kraemer

The contributions to this session address questions about the structural features of ground and the way ground interacts with logical concepts. We begin by providing a brief overview of the four topics in this section—Granularity, Infinite Descent, Is Ground a Partial Order?, Logical Puzzles of Ground—and the broad plans the respective contributors—Fabrice Correia, T. Scott Dixon, Naomi Thompson, Stephan Kraemer—have for their articles. After that, the authors who are present, Dixon and Kraemer will give a somewhat more detailed overview of their contributions on the possibility of infinite descending chains of ground and on the logical puzzles of ground, and then open up the discussion.

Session 4 | Applications

Contributors:

Fatema Amijee, Carolyn Brighouse, Kit Fine, Michaela McSweeney, Asya Passinsky, Erica Shumener, Tobias Wilsch

Chair: Kit Fine

This session explores applications of grounding to the following areas: logically complex and logically simpler facts (McSweeney), laws of metaphysics (Wilsch), identity (Shumener), the Principle of Sufficient Reason in Spinoza and Leibniz (Amijee), philosophy of physics, in particular of spacetime theories (Brighouse), social ontology and race/gender (Passinsky), truthmaker semantics (Fine).

Session 5 | Connections

Contributors:

Ricki Bliss, Tom Donaldson, David Kovacs, Stephan Leuenberger, Olla Solomyak, Tuomas Tahko, Kelly Trogdon, Jennifer Wang

Chair: Tom Donaldson

In this session, we will see that the theory of ground can illuminate, and be illuminated by, the theory of truthmaking (Trogdon), causation (Wang), emergence (Leuenberger), fundamentality (Bliss), modality (Kovacs), realism (Solomyak), structure (Tahko), and analyticity (Donaldson).

Capstone Session

Chair: Mike Raven

PARTICIPANTS

Fatema Amijee (Simon Fraser U) Mark Balaguer (California State U LA)

Mike Bertrand (Auburn) Ricki Bliss (Lehigh U)

Carolyn Brighouse (Occidental College LA)

Corkum, Philip (U Alberta) Julio de Rizzo (Hamburg) Louis deRosset (U Vermont) Andreas Ditter (NYU) Scott Dixon (U Ashoka)

Tom Donaldson (Simon Fraser U)

Kit Fine (NYU)

Martin Glazier (UNAM) Kathrin Koslicki (U Alberta) David Kovacs (Tel Aviv) Stephan Kraemer (Hamburg) Stephan Leuenberger (Glasgow)

Jon Litland (UT Austin) Roberto Loss (Hamburg) Marko Malink (NYU) Antonella Mallozzi (CUNY) Michael McSweeney (U Boston) Asya Passinsky (Dartmouth)) Martin Pleitz (Muenster) Mike Raven (U Victoria)

Kevin Richardson (NC State U)

Stefan Roski (Hamburg) Henrik Rydéhn (Uppsala) Petter Sandstad (Rostock)

Benjamin Schnieder (Hamburg) Erica Shumener (U Pittsburgh

Lukas Skiba (Hamburg) Olla Solomyak (Jerusalem) Tuomas Tahko (Helsinki) Ryan Tonkin (U Victoria) Kelly Trogdon (Virginia Tech)

Lisa Vogt (Barcelona)

Jennifer Wang (Simon Fraser U) Jonas Werner (Hamburg) Tobias Wilsch (Tübingen)

ORGANIZER

Robert Schwartzkopff (Hamburg)

Emergency Contact

Should anything come up, feel free to write me an email (robert.schwartzkopff@uni-hamburg.de) or, if it is very urgent, to call me (+49 (0)179 1027116).